## CITY OF AVON LAKE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

MARCH 27, 2024
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 150 AVON BELDEN ROAD
7:00 PM
7:00 PM

VOTING ORDER:

## AGENDA

A. ROLL CALL

Ms. Slivinski
Mr. Shook
Ms. Merlone
Mr. Updegraff
Mr. Renacci

Ms. Slivinski, Mr. Shook, Ms. Merlone, Mr. Updegraff, Mr. Renacci, Assistant Law Director Graves, and Planning \& Zoning Manager Page.
B. APPROVAL OF THE FEBRUARY 28, 2024, MEETING MINUTES
C. GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE/ANNOUNCEMENTS
D. COMMENTS FROM THE ASSISTANT LAW DIRECTOR

1. At the beginning of the meeting, the Assistant Law Director must swear in anyone who has business before this board and will be giving testimony regarding any of the items on the agenda.
2. Please state your name and address before addressing the board.
3. All questions or comments must be addressed to the Chairman.
E. ADMINISTRATION OF OATH
F. NEW CASES
4. CAV 24-7

Cory \& Brianna Rushwin request an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 631 Treeside Lane Drive (single-family residence).

AREA VARIANCE
WARD I
R-1A

The applicant requests a 4' tall fence in the front yard along Millside Lane.

## 2. CAV 24-8

Rodney Williams (on behalf of Mark Peters and Holly Wilburn) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(11)(B) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations at parcel 04-00-018-146-022, located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road (vacant property).

AREA VARIANCE WARD III R-1B
The applicant requests to reduce the front setback (40' to $25^{\prime}$ ) and rear setback (35' to 10') for a new single-family dwelling.

## 3. CAV 24-9

Rodney Williams (on behalf of Mark Peters and Holly Wilburn) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at parcel 04-00-018-146-022, located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road (vacant property).

AREA VARIANCE WARD III R-1B

The applicant requests 6' tall fencing in the western side yard and front yards.
4. CAV 24-10

Alex Augoustidis requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1224.01 (f)(20) Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations - Accessory Uses and Structures at 516 Belfrey Court (single-family residence).

## AREA VARIANCE <br> WARD II <br> R-1A

The applicant requests a rear yard basketball court, reducing the side yard setback from 10' to $5^{\prime}$.

## 5. CAV 24-12

Amanda Wanner requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 326 Inwood Blvd (single-family residence).

AREA VARIANCE WARD IV R-1A

The applicant requests a 6' tall fence in the southernmost side yard.
6. CAV 24-13

Nick Firment requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 31951 Hidden Cove (single-family residence).

AREA VARIANCE WARD I R-1A

The applicant requests a 4' tall fence in the front yard along South Port Drive.
7. CAV 24-14

Elizabeth Orr of Dover Home Remodelers, Inc. (on behalf of Maureen Smith) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(4)(K) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations at 31729 Maritime Court (single-family residence).
AREA VARIANCE
WARD I
R-1A

The applicant requests a covered porch to encroach 4' 4-5/8" into the required $35^{\prime}$ rear yard setback.
8. CAV 24-15

Justin Hellinger requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1234.14 Parking, Access, and Mobility Standards - Number of Parking Spaces Required at 33625 Pin Oak Parkway (Industrial).

AREA VARIANCE WARD IV I-2

The applicant requests to waive the requirement for 188 additional parking spaces.

## G. COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE

H. COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS
I. DISCUSSION
J. ADJOURN

The next regular meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals will be on April 24, 2024.

## MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE AVON LAKE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HELD FEBRUARY 28, 2024

A regular meeting of the Avon Lake Zoning Board of Appeals was called to order on February 28, 2024 at 7:00 P.M. in Council Chambers with Chairperson Shook presiding.

## ROLL CALL

Present for roll call were Mr. Shook, Ms. Slivinski, Mr. Updegraff, Mr. Renacci, Assistant Director of Law Graves, Planning \& Zoning Manager Page, and Community Development Director Esborn. Ms. Merlone's absence was excused.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of January 24, 2024 were approved as presented.

## READING OF GENERAL COORESPONDENCE

None

## COMMENTS FROM THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAW

Assistant Law Director Graves described the procedures to be followed in the conduct of the meeting. With regard to the first case of this meeting, he explained that decisions made by the Code Administrator may not be reversed unless there is competent, material, and substantial evidence in the record to support a reversal. Mr. Graves noted that it is the applicant's burden to establish what is called "practical difficulty" when seeking an area variance. In addition, all who testify are legally bound by any representations, in word or print, made to the Board.

## OATH ADMINISTERED

As provided in Article IV (5)(a)(4) of the Zoning Board Rules, an oath was administered by the Assistant Director of Law to all members of the audience speaking at this meeting.

## CASE CZA 24-1

## REQUEST TO REVERSE THE CODE ADMINISTRATOR'S DECISION REGARDING 275 PAMILLA CIRCLE DENIED

Per Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.11 (b) Review Procedures - Appeals, Andrew Wansack of 32130 Ventanas Circle, representing Ventanas HOA, is appealing an administrative decision of the Code Administrator and the Community Development Department.

On September 28, 2022, the Zoning Board of Appeals heard Case 22-028 requesting a variance to permit a 6 -foot tall privacy fencing in the secondary front yard at 275 Pamilla Circle. The Board unanimously approved the granting of the variance with two conditions: (1) The top 12 " to 18 " of the 6 - foot high fence must be open, i.e. lattice or similar open style; (2) Landscaping must be installed to mask the look of a wall created by the fence.

Upon review, the Code Administrator determined that the landscaping is sufficient. Mr. Wansack asserted that the landscaping does not sufficiently mask the fence and the landscaping condition of the variance was vague. He referenced photographs of the fence area during the summer and
winter to illustrate that during the winter months the fence is almost completely exposed. Mr . Wansack stated that this fence is unique because it extends into the secondary front yard.

Discussing the choice of plants installed along the fence, Ms. Slivinski stated that there were 27 plants with many having tags that said they would grow 8 to 10 feet tall. Mr. Wansack questioned whether the masking condition was required now or in 10 years; the HOA thinks now. He added that a designated HOA representative did not attend the 2022 meeting to object to the fence because the property owner, Maria Escabedo, was required to submit her plans for HOA approval, or denial, which she did not do.

Mr. Wansack and Jeffrey Leach, fellow Ventanas HOA member, confirmed that Mr. Wansack was authorized by the HOA to represent them. Mr. Leach, as the immediate neighbor of Ms. Escabedo, explained that he did attend the 2022 ZBA meeting and voiced concerns about the fence and need for masking landscaping. He added that he did not push hard on the topic because he knew Ms. Escabedo was required to submit her plans to the HOA, which she has never done.

Jennifer Fenderbosch, City Councilperson for Ward 2, presented photographs of other fences in the Ventanas development and noted that standards for fences have changed over time.

Matthew Nee, attorney for Ms. Escabedo, asserted:

1. The HOA's actions are not an effort to enforce a condition set by the ZBA, but an effort to have the ZBA enforce the HOA rules.
2. Mr. Wansack is representing the HOA, not himself, and the HOA has no standing to bring this appeal.
3. Even if there was standing, the 30-day appeal window closed in June 2023 when the Code Administrator made the determination that the landscaping is sufficient.
4. The issue is not the amount or type of landscaping required. It is an issue of whether the condition was vague or non-specific; numbers/percentages were not specified.
5. This is a private matter between the HOA and Ms. Escabedo.

Mr. Esborn, Community Development Director, explained the rational for determining the masking was sufficient. As the Code Administrator, he considered the definitions of the words fence and wall. He found that fences are typically made out of materials such as wire and boards, while walls are more often made of masonry. Hence, this structure clearly looks like a fence in the first place. Mr. Esborn concluded that if a look of a wall is not there, then any landscape masking is sufficient and the condition set by the Board has been met.

Ms. Slivinski moved to deny the request. Mr. Updegraff seconded the motion.
Ms. Slivinski stated that this is a case between the HOA and the homeowner. The HOA could have stopped the installation of the fence. She noted that there are numerous fences in the neighborhood without masking and this property owner has installed 27 plants of varying heights.

Mr. Renacci added that the applicant had the burden to show cause to reverse the Code Administrator's decision and he had not.

AYES: Shook, Updegraff, Renacci, Slivinski
NAYES: None
ABSENT: Merlone

## CASE CAV 24-5

## REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE AT 634 PARKSIDE DRIVE DENIED

Andrew Wenz (on behalf of homeowners Ryan and Amanda Uhle) request an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 634 Parkside Drive

The applicant is proposing a 4-foot tall black ornamental aluminum fence to enclose the rear yard and portion of the secondary front yard. Code allows for a maximum fence height of 3 feet in front yards. This fence would also encroach 8 feet into a utility easement.

Mr. Wenz explained:

1. There are no utilities in the easement.
2. There is a tree and large, cemented-in play set in the secondary front yard.
3. It is more cost-effective and will be attractive, as the fence will be $50 \%$ open.
4. The adjacent neighbor has a 4-foot high fence in their secondary front yard.

Ms. Slivinski moved to deny the variance. Mr. Renacci seconded the motion.
Ms. Slivinski stated that the applicant did not provide any reason to find practical difficulty. She added that cost is not a factor in determining practical difficulty. Mr. Renacci agreed.

AYES: Updegraff, Renacci, Slivinski
NAYES: Shook
ABSENT: Merlone

## CASE CAV 24-6

## REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE AT DENIED

John and Laurel Marshall request an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 32432 Lake Road.

The applicant is proposing to install a code-compliant 3-foot tall fence that will run between eight 40 -inch tall columns and two 48 -inch tall columns in the front yard that will completely enclose the front yard. The proposed columns exceed the maximum permitted height as they are considered integral connecting parts of the fencing.

Mr. Marshall explained:

1. He and his wife have 2 children under the age of 18 months and a dog.
2. Lake Road has heavy traffic and there was a car accident recently that came across his driveway.
3. The fence will be set 2 feet from the public sidewalk.
4. The two 48 -inch high pillars will only be at the driveway and will not impeded site lines.

Eric Pawlick, contractor for the Marshalls, stated that the fence and pillars will not be in the right-of-way. He explained that the 48 -inch high pillars are needed to provide leeway for the swinging of the driveway gate.

Steve Lasky, neighbor to the west, explained that the utility pole between his and the Marshall's property has been hit by cars twice since 2019, the second of which resulted in the driver's fatality; this is a very dangerous area and he is concerned about the view being blocked. In addition, He noted that there is plenty of room in the backyard for children to play.

Mr. Shook moved to deny the variance. Ms. Slivinski seconded the motion.
Mr. Shook stated that no practical difficulty has been established. Ms. Slivinski stated there are other options that would not require a variance.

AYES: Shook, Updegraff, Renacci, Slivinski<br>NAYES: None

ABSENT: Merlone

## COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE

None

## COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

All present expect to attend the March meeting.

## ADJOURN

Ms. Slivinski moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:05 P.M. Mr. Updegraff seconded the motion.

AYES: Shook, Updegraff, Renacci, Slivinski

Zoning Board of Appeals
Chairperson Shook

NAYES: None
ABSENT: Merlone

Recording Secretary
Diane Reynolds

## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-7

TO: Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-7 (AREA VARIANCE - 631 Treeside Lane)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Cory \& Brianna Rushwin request an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 631 Treeside Lane Drive (single-family residence).

## DISCUSSION:

631 Treeside Lane is a single-family property located in the Parkside Subdivision. Parkside is in the southeasternmost section of the city, on the south side south of Walker Road. The property is zoned R1A, Single-Family Residence. All surrounding properties share the same R-1A zoning.


Figure 1: Lorain County Auditor GIS - Spring 2023 Aerial


Figure 2: City of Avon Lake Zoning Map-2022

The property is a corner lot with two frontages. The primary frontage is on Treeside Lane and the secondary frontage is on Millside Lane. Because of this, the rear yard directly abuts the front yard. Below is an image depicting the designated yard types for corner lots. The front yard is shaded yellow, side yard red, and the rear yard is shaded green.


Figure 3: Lorain County Auditor GIS - Spring 2023 Aerial - Yard Types
The applicant is proposing a 4' tall black ornamental aluminum fence to enclose their rear yard, side yard, and portion of the front yard adjacent to Millside Lane. Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts states that maximum height of a fence in a rear yard is $6^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ in a front yard. The proposed rear yard fencing is code compliant while the front yard fencing extends $1^{\prime}$ over the maximum permitted height. The fence is proposed to encroach $\pm 27^{\prime}$ ( $25^{\prime}$ from sidewalk) into the front yard adjacent to Millside Lane. Below is an image from the applicant depicting their proposed fence location.

There is a $12^{\prime}$ utility easement (Granted to The Illuminating Company) located on both fronts of the property that is not impacted by this area variance request.

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 631 Treeside Lane (Single-Family Residence). The request would permit a 4' tall black ornamental fence to encroach no more than 27' into the


Figure 4: Applicant Provided Plan - CAV 24-7
secondary front yard adjacent to Millside Lane. The fencing located in the rear yard is not included in the request and is considered code compliant.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review Procedures Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-7, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts, permitting a 4' tall black ornamental aluminum fence in the front yard (as depicted), adjacent to Millside Lane, at 631 Treeside Lane.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, along with a site plan, aerial and fence detail. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 631 Treeside Lane.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure(s) location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Plan
5. Additional Applicant Documents

Table 1226-9: Fences and Walls in Residential Districts

| Type of Fence or Wall | Yard in Which Permitted | Maximum Height Permitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vinyl Coated Chain Link <br> Fence | Side and Rear | 4 Feet |
| Open Fence | Front | 3 Feet [1] |
|  | Side | 4 Feet |
|  | Rear | 6 Feet [2] |
| Solid Fence or Wall | Side | 4 Feet |

## (e) Fences and Walls in Nonresidential Districts

The following standards apply to fences and walls in nonresidential districts.
(1) No fence or wall shall be, in any way, electrified or topped with any sharp-edged materials with the exception of fences in the I-1 and I-2 Districts where fences may be topped with barbed wire. Such barbed-wire shall be placed on a 45-degree angle- arm away from the side of the fence that fronts a property or right-of-way line. Such barbed wire shall only be located along the top of a fence and shall not extend below the top of the fence more than 12-inches.
(2) No fence shall exceed 8 feet in height in any rear or side yard, or exceed 3 feet in height in any front yard. In the I-1 and I-2 Districts, any fence in a front yard that is set back a minimum of 60 feet shall be permitted to have a maximum height of eight feet.
(3) Any proposed fence shall be approved as part of the site plan review in accordance with this code.

## (f) Temporary Fences

Fences erected for a specific function and limited time duration, including deer, snow, or construction fencing, are permitted as follows and in compliance with the following requirements.
(1) Temporary fences shall be maintained in good condition and shall not require a zoning permit.
(2) No temporary fencing material shall be used for permanent fencing.
(3) Snow Fencing
A. Snow fencing shall be permitted between November 1 of any year and April 1 of the following year.
B. Snow fencing shall not exceed four feet in height.
C. Fencing materials shall be limited to burlap, plastic mesh fabric of a neutral or dark color, any clear plastic material or wood slat fencing (traditional snow fence) with wood or metal supports.
J. Craig Snodgrass, CPA, CGFM631 TREESIDE LN AVON LAKE, OH 44012

Lorain County Auditor


| CAV-24-7 | Primary Location | Applicant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area Variance Application | 631 TREESIDE LN | ○ Cory Rushwin |
| (ZBA) | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 | 330-506-4257 |
| Status: Active | Owner | @ coryrush2@gmail.com |
| Submitted On: 2/24/2024 | RUSHWIN CORY ROBERT \& | 631 Treeside Ln |
|  | RUSHWIN BRIANNA MARIE | Avon Lake, OH 44012 |
|  | TREESIDE LN 631 AVON |  |
|  | LAKE, OH 44012 |  |

## Applicant Information

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Applicant Role* } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Name* } \\
\text { Homeowner }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Cory Rushwin\end{array}\right]\)| City* |
| :--- |
| Address* |
| 631 Treeside Ln |
| Avon Lake |
| State* |
| OH |

## Property Owner Information

## Same as Applicant

## Request Information

```
O}\mathrm{ Code Section(s)
```

A \# of Variance Requested

## Specific Details of Variance Request*

Seeking an area variance to Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) to permit a 4' tall fence extending 27 feet in a front garage side yard of a corner lot.

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

Cory Robert Rushwin
Feb 23, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?*

Grant

## Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*

Yes

Signature*

- Cory Robert Rushwin

Feb 23, 2024

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

Our corner lot home has an unsually small backyard and two unsually large front yards compared to the other corner lot homes in our development. I was unable to find another home with a backyard as shallow as ours within our neighborhood.
The areas where we would place our fence if we followed the current regulations of a 4' or taller fence within our backyard only is inhibited by large tree shrubs which would need to be unneccesarily removed or we'd be forced to move the fence further inside our backyard limiting our space even further. Following current 3' regulations is not possible for us because of the risk of our 2 dogs jumping a fence that height.
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

The benefial use of the property without the variance pales in comparison to the beneficial use with the variance. Extending our fence further from the backyard provides signficantly more space and functionality while mainting the integrity of our home and the entire neighborhood.
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

The amount of fence in our front yard we are requesting is very reasonable. We are not requestiong to go anywhere near the street nor are we requesting our fence to be placed in the actual front of our home. It will be hidden within landscaping and would not at all look unusual or out of place, in fact the fence we are requesting would increase our homes privacy, curb appeal, and safety for our pets and family.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

No, the amount of fence in our front side yard we are requestiong would not at all alter or be in any way a detriment to any adjoining properties. They are well within our own property and away from foot traffic and any other activity.

## E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No, it would be completely removed from adversely affecting the delivery of government services in any way because it will not come anywhere near the sidewalk or the street or anwhere government services are performed.
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

I don't believe so but I am unclear on the question.
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

I don't believe so. I think the only way to increase the efficacy and safety of our home and nieghborhood as well as the value is to allow a 4' fence variance. This will allow us to stay in our home longer while continuing to raise our family and build on the house we love.
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

Absolutely. I believe the intent behind the current zoning requirement is to protect the surrounding neighborhood from intrusive fences, however, applying this rule to our home in particular essentially limits our ability to get the most out of our home for our children and pets in the future. There are several houses within 3 blocks of ours that have installed 4' fences and taller into their front yards and go way farther towards the sidewalks than what we are requesting. These houses I am refering to also have much more room in their backyards than we do.

## I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

No, in fact it seems like the majority of corner lots within our surrounding neighborhood that have fences already have 4' fences or taller in one of their front yards. These fences look and feel very respectable and appropriate to the neighborhood.
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

Yes, as I have mentioned in sections H and I there are many houses with the same 4' fences we are requesting in the same area. Ours is even smaller and within landscaping areas so as to not come anywhere near sidewalks or foot traffic.
Several corner properties with these fences we have noticed just walking our dogs include:
633 Bridgeside Dr.
681 Bridgeside Dr.
31859 Pondside Dr.
31900 Pondside Dr.
I point these properties out only to demonstrate how these fences can look appropriate while maintaining the purpose of the codes and allowing the homeowners to make the most of their properties.

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know？

I would just like the Community Development team to understand where we are coming from with our variance request．My wife and I both work in heathcare， sometimes long hours，and with our newborn baby it can be difficult to find the time for our dogs to get out and stretch their legs．We want to provide this for them while still maintaining the beauty of our home and neighborhood and to simply make the most out of our property for our family for years to come．We want to stay in Avon Lake as long as we can and providing our family with an adequate fence is very important to us．It seems silly to us to dramatically cut the size of our fence for one single foot while numerous fences like the one we want already exist just down the street from us．Fences are not cheap and are essentially permanent which is why we are exhausting our options to obtain approval for this small variance to the rules．We strongly believe that the fence we are trying to install only provides value to our home and the entire neighborhood while looking and feeling respectable and providing the most functionality for our family．

## Attachments

| 官 | Plot Plan Drawn to Scale <br> 631treesideln2．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：26 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 官 | Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance 631treesideln1．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：26 PM |
| 官 | Signatures of Neighbors－stating they understand the request and have no objections new doc 2024－02－24 16．35．25．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 24， 2024 at 4：40 PM |
| 自 | 633 Bridgeside dr．pdf <br> 633 Bridgeside dr．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：45 PM |
| 官 | 681 Bridgeside dr．pdf <br> 681 Bridgeside dr．pdf Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：46 PM |
| 自 | 681 Bridgeside dr2．pdf <br> 681 Bridgeside dr2．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：46 PM |
| 官 | 31900 Pondside dr．pdf <br> 31900 Pondside dr．pdf <br> Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23， 2024 at 5：47 PM |

芭 $\quad$| treeshrubs blocking fence.pdf |
| :--- |
| treeshrubs blocking fence.pdf |
| Uploaded by Cory Rushwin on Feb 23, 2024 at 5:48 PM |



Cory and Brianna Rushwin

## 631 Treeside Ln

Avon Lake, OH 44012

## February 23, 2024

To the Community Development Team:

This letter is in regards to the variance proposal for the property listed above. The request is for the approval of a 4 ft black aluminum fence extending 27 ft into the front driveway side yard.

I hereby understand the variance proposal and have no objections.


Signature
Date

Applicant provided Photos (3/7/24)

633 Bridgeside Drive


681 Bridgeside Drive - $1 / 2$


681 Bridgeside Drive - $2 / 2$


31900 Pondside Drive


Tree / Shrubs


## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-8

TO: $\quad$ Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-8 (AREA VARIANCE - Parcel 04-00-018-146-022)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Rodney Williams (on behalf of Mark Peters and Holly Wilburn) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(11)(B) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations at parcel 04-00-018-146-022, located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road (vacant property).

This is the first of two variance requests pertaining to this property. This request deals with setbacks while the second request, application CAV 24-9 deals with side and front yard fencing.

## DISCUSSION:

The subject property is identified as Parcel number 04-00-018-146-022 and is located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road. The property is a corner lot with frontages onto Electric Blvd and Armour Road.


Armour Road is a paper street, identified as City of Avon Lake Right-of-Way. Armour Road currently has a paved multipurpose trail, and this corner is identified as an entrance point to the Kopf Reservation, managed by the Lorain County Metro Parks.

The applicant Is proposing a single-family dwelling, that would front onto Electric Blvd. Its primary and only access is depicted as a driveway towards the northwestern corner of the property. The property is zoned $R-1 B$, single-family residence. Properties to the west are zoned $R-1 C$ and $R-1 B$ to the south and east.

For the R-1B district, the Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(11)(B) General Development Standards Lot and Principal Building Regulations requires a front setback of 50', side setback of 10' and a rear setback of $35^{\prime}$. In this section, there is a note stating that,
"The minimum front yard setback shall be as established in this table unless the lot is located on designated streets within a subdivision identified in Table 1226-6, in which case, the minimum front yard setback established in Table 1226-6 shall apply unless the prevailing front yard setbacks are larger than the minimum required according to Table 1226-6. then the minimum front yard setback shall not be less than the average of the four nearest lots on the same block face. See Figure 1226-A."

The subject property is located in the Avon Vineyard Subdivision that was platted in 1925. Table 1226-6 states that the minimum front yard setback shall be measured from the centerline of the street and shall be $80^{\prime}$. The Electric Blvd right-of-way is $80^{\prime}$. This would make setback from the property line (back of the sidewalk) 40' and 10' less to what the R-B district typically requires. Copy of the code sections are provided in the packet.

The side setback (west) and front setback along Armour Roud are not impacted by this request and are code compliant.


Figure 3: Site Plan - Applicant

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at parcel 04-00-018-146-022 (vacant property). The request would reduce the front setback along Electric Blvd ( $40^{\prime}$ to $25^{\prime}$ ) and the rear setback ( $35^{\prime}$ to $10^{\prime}$ ) for a new single-family dwelling.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-8, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(11)(B) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations, reducing the front and rear setbacks, as depicted, at parcel 04-00-018-146-022.

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria and a site plan. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of the subject property.

As previously referenced, the subject property is located directly west of an entrance into the Kopf Reservation. Inside of the reservation, majority of the lots are owned by the Lorain County Metro Parks, while others are still privately owned. The trail is located inside of Avon Lake Right-of-Way. Three properties south of the subject property are privately owned. While four lots on the east side of Armour are owned by CEI. Because of the adjacent private ownership, roadway and utility improvements may or may not be needed along Armour Road. Any potential improvements are not a part of this variance request and shall be handled by the City Engineer, Public Works Department, and Community Development Department at the time of pre-application meeting, prior to permitting.

If the area variance is granted, and prior to any construction, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure(s) location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Site Plan

## (11) Minimum Setback Requirements

A. Setbacks required for accessory uses are established in Section 1224.01: Accessory Uses and Structures.
B. Tables Table 1226-5, Table 1226-6, and Table 1226-7 establish the minimum setback requirements for principal buildings in the residential zoning districts.

| TABLE 1226-5: Minimum SETBACK REQUIREMENTS FOR RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Required Setback | Minimum Setback in Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | R-1A | R-1B | R-1C | R-1D | R-2 and <br> R-3 |  |  |
| Front Yard Setback | 50 | $50[1][2]$ | $20[3]$ | $20[3]$ | 30 |  |  |
| Side Yard Setback | 10 | $10[4]$ | 4 | 4 | $35[5]$ |  |  |
| Rear Yard Setback | 35 | 35 | 20 | 20 | $35[5]$ |  |  |

## NOTES:

[1] For corner lots in the R-1B District, the minimum front yard setback from the street to the side of the principal structure may be reduced to 15 feet provided it does not reduce the buildable width of the lot to less than 25 feet.
[2] The minimum front yard setback shall be as established in this table unless the lot is located on designated streets within a subdivision identified in Table 1226-6, in which case, the minimum front yard setback established in Table 1226-6 shall apply unless the prevailing front yard setbacks are larger than the minimum required according to Table 1226-6. then the minimum front yard setback shall not be less than the average of the four nearest lots on the same block face. See Figure 1226-A.
[3] The front yard setback for lots fronting on Lake Road, Walker Road, Avon-Belden Road, Lear Road, Jaycox Road, Moor Road, Miller Road, and Electric Avenue shall be increased to 30 feet. [4] In areas where the prevailing side yard setbacks are smaller than the minimum required according to this table, then the minimum side yard setback shall not be less than the average of the four nearest lots on the same block face. See Figure 1226-A.
[5] Where the R-2 or R-3 District is adjacent to any other R-2 or R-3 District, or any nonresidential zoning district, the side and rear yard setbacks may be reduced to 20 feet.

Chapter 1226: General Development Standards Section 1226.01: Lot and Principal Building Regulations

| Table 1226-6: Minimum Front Yard Setbacks for Established Subdivisions in the R-1B DISTRICT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision | Minimum Front Yard Setback as measured from the established centerline of the street |
| Avon Center Estates Subdivision No. 1 | 70 |
| Avon Center Estates Subdivision No. 2 | 70 |
| Avon Center Estates Subdivision No. 3 | 80 |
| Avon Lake Harbor Estates Subdivision | 55 |
| Avon Point Allotment | 70 |
| Avon Vineyard Subdivision | 80 |
| Belden Park Subdivision | 70 |
| Belmar Beach Subdivision | 60 |
| Glen Arden Beach Subdivision No. 3 | 60 |
| Lake Breeze Allotment | 70 |
| Woodhaven Beach Subdivision No. 2 | 65 |

Table 1226-7: Minimum Setback Requirements for Nonresidential Districts

| Required Setback | Minimum Setback in Feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B-1 | B-2 | B-3 | I-1 | I-2 | P-I |
| Front Yard Setback for Lots with <br> Frontage on Lear Road | 40 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 20 |
| Front Yard Setback | 30 | 30 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 20 |
| Side and Rear Yard Setbacks <br> Adjacent to a Residential Zoning <br> District | 35 | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 20 | 75 | 75 | 10 Side <br> 20 Rear |
| Side and Rear Yard Setbacks <br> Adjacent to a Nonresidential Zoning <br> District | 10 | 10 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 10 Side <br> 20 Rear |

(Ord. 23-46. Passed 3-13-2023.)


| CAV-24-8 | Primary Location | Applicant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area Variance Application | 0 ELECTRIC BLVD | - Rodney Williams |
| (ZBA) | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 | - 440-225-5600 |
| Status: Active | Owner | @ uskwil@gmail.com |
| Submitted On: 3/10/2024 | PETERS PEGGY J | - 31831 Lake Rd. |
|  | 915 OSBORNE AVE LORAIN, | Avon Lake, OH 4401 |
|  | OH 44052 |  |

## Applicant Information

| Applicant Role* | Name* |
| :--- | :--- |
| Future Owner | Rodney \& Karen Williams |
| Address* | City* |
| 31831 Lake Rd. | Avon Lake |
|  |  |
| State* | Zip* |
| OH | 44012 |
| Telephone* | Email Address* |
| 440-225-5600 | uskwil@gmail.com |

Property Owner Information

Same as Applicant
Name*
Peggy J. Peters (deceased) - Holly Wilburn/Mark Peters

Address*
915 Osborne Avenue

| State* | Zip* |
| :--- | :--- |
| OH | 44052 |

City*
Lorain

44052

Telephone*
440-327-7006 (Holly)

Email Address*
unknown

## Request Information

## . 0 Code Section(s)

을 \# of Variance Requested

Specific Details of Variance Request*

Requesting building set back zoning variance due to unique shape of property.
Applicant has reached an agreement to purchase said property from Holly Wilburn (heir of Peggy Peters). While paperwork transfers are being handled, Ms. Wilburn has agreed to allow applicant to request zoning variances.

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

- Rodney A. Williams

Mar 10, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?*

Grant

## Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*

No

Signature*

- Rodney Williams (on behalf of Holly Wilburn) Mar 10, 2024

Daytime Phone Number*
4402255600

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

Property description states frontage along Armour Rd. and depth of Electric Blvd. This corner lot is irregular in shape and regular setbacks would deem property extremely difficult to biuld on. Requesting variance on the western side of property - building setback at 20'.
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

Property would be extremely difficult to build on with corner building setback requirements.
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

Variance is not substantial and would be necessary to provide reasonable use of land. With planned configuration, home would use the eastern side of lot along Armour as outside living area. The variance request on the westernly side side of property would enable garages to be build - and still have 20' from neighboring property. This lot is adjacent to R1C properties, who have same reduced setbacks.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

The character of the home and landscaping will improve neighborhoods. Values of adjoining properties would most likely be increased by this home.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No.
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

No.
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

No. Without setback variances of one kind or another, property would be impossible to build on.
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

Yes. Property (when originally platted) was planned to front Armour. Since that has now turned to Metro Park property, intent is to enhance the park entrance with sufficient landscaping, and enter/exit onto Electric Blvd.
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

No special privilege would be deemed in approving. There are several variances in the surrounding area that would be similar. Additionally, a variance to 20' setback on the west side of property is fitting of the surrounding district of R1C and those variances.
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

Yes.?

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

Only neighbor lives in Las Vegas and was not reachable.

## Attachments



Plot Plan Drawn to Scale
REQUIRED
Plot Plan.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:23 PM

Elevations of Proposed Construction (if applicable)
Property Description.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:24 PM

Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance aerial.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:24 PM


Signatures of Neighbors - stating they understand the request and have no objections Wilburn.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:24 PM

From: Holly Wilburn [holly_wilburn@yahoo.com](mailto:holly_wilburn@yahoo.com)
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2024 4:36 PM
To:
uskwil@gmail.com
Subject:
Avon Lake Property

Hi Karen - you have my approval to request a variance on Sublot No. 162, Permanent Parcel No. 04-00-018-146-022 in the City of Avon Lake, Ohio. Please let me know if you need anything further.

Best Regards,
Holly Wilburn
184.85'


## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-9

TO: $\quad$ Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-9 (AREA VARIANCE - Parcel 04-00-018-146-022)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Rodney Williams (on behalf of Mark Peters and Holly Wilburn) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at parcel 04-00-018-146-022, located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road (vacant property).

This is the second variance request pertaining to this property. Please note that if the first area variance application (24-8) is denied by the Board, this subsequent area variance application may be deemed unnecessary and withdrawn.

## DISCUSSION:

The subject property is identified as Parcel number 04-00-018-146-022 and is located at the southwest corner of Electric Blvd and Armour Road. The property is a corner lot with frontages onto Electric Blvd and Armour Road.


Armour Road is a paper street, identified as City of Avon Lake Right-of-Way. Armour Road currently has a paved multipurpose trail, and this corner is identified as an entrance point to the Kopf Reservation, which is managed by the Lorain County Metro Parks.

The applicant is proposing a $6^{\prime}$ tall privacy fence to be installed in the rear yard, western side yard, and front yards Along Electric Blvd and Armour Road. Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts states that maximum yard fence heights are as follows:

| Yard | Fence Height |
| :---: | :---: |
| Front | $3^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime}\right.$ Requested $)$ |
| Side | $4^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime}\right.$ Requested $)$ |
| Rear | $6^{\prime}\left(6^{\prime}\right.$ Requested $)$ |

The proposed rear yard fencing is code compliant but extends 2' over the maximum height in the side yard and $3^{\prime}$ over the maximum height in the front yard. A solid style privacy fence is permitted only in the rear yard and is not compliant with requirements in the side or front yards.


Figure 3: Site Plan - Applicant
The fence is proposed $6^{\prime}$ off the back of the sidewalk and does not impact any easements or utilities as there are none currently on the property.

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at parcel 04-00-018-146-022 (vacant property). The request would permit a 6' tall privacy fence in the western side yard and Front Yards. The fencing located in the rear yard is not included in the request and is considered code compliant.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review

Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-9, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts, permitting a $6^{\prime}$ tall privacy fence in the western side yard and front yards (as depicted).

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria and a site plan. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of the subject property.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure(s) location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Site Plan

Table 1226-9: Fences and Walls in Residential Districts

| Type of Fence or Wall | Yard in Which Permitted | Maximum Height Permitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vinyl Coated Chain Link <br> Fence | Side and Rear | 4 Feet |
| Open Fence | Front | 3 Feet [1] |
|  | Side | 4 Feet |
|  | Rear | Feet [2] |
|  | Side | 4 Feet |
| NOTES: <br> [1] A four-foot open fence may be constructed in front of a dwelling provided that the length of such <br> fence does not extend past the side walls of the house or across a driveway and provided it is set <br> back from the right-of-way line a minimum of $75 \%$ of the minimum front building setback for the <br> district. <br> [2] Trellises up to 16 feet in total length may exceed the maximum permitted height when located in <br> the rear yard adjacent to, and between the side walls, of the dwelling. |  |  |

## (e) Fences and Walls in Nonresidential Districts

The following standards apply to fences and walls in nonresidential districts.
(1) No fence or wall shall be, in any way, electrified or topped with any sharp-edged materials with the exception of fences in the I-1 and I-2 Districts where fences may be topped with barbed wire. Such barbed-wire shall be placed on a 45-degree angle- arm away from the side of the fence that fronts a property or right-of-way line. Such barbed wire shall only be located along the top of a fence and shall not extend below the top of the fence more than 12-inches.
(2) No fence shall exceed 8 feet in height in any rear or side yard, or exceed 3 feet in height in any front yard. In the I-1 and I-2 Districts, any fence in a front yard that is set back a minimum of 60 feet shall be permitted to have a maximum height of eight feet.
(3) Any proposed fence shall be approved as part of the site plan review in accordance with this code.

## (f) Temporary Fences

Fences erected for a specific function and limited time duration, including deer, snow, or construction fencing, are permitted as follows and in compliance with the following requirements.
(1) Temporary fences shall be maintained in good condition and shall not require a zoning permit.
(2) No temporary fencing material shall be used for permanent fencing.
(3) Snow Fencing
A. Snow fencing shall be permitted between November 1 of any year and April 1 of the following year.
B. Snow fencing shall not exceed four feet in height.
C. Fencing materials shall be limited to burlap, plastic mesh fabric of a neutral or dark color, any clear plastic material or wood slat fencing (traditional snow fence) with wood or metal supports.


| CAV-24-9 | Primary Location | Applicant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area Variance Application | O ELECTRIC BLVD | ○ Rodney Williams |
| (ZBA) | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 | - 440-225-5600 |
| Status: Active | Owner | @ uskwil@gmail.com |
| Submitted On: 3/10/2024 | PETERS PEGGY J | A1831 Lake Rd. |
|  | 915 OSBORNE AVE LORAIN, | Avon Lake, OH 44012 |
|  | OH 44052 |  |

## Applicant Information

| Applicant Role* | Name* |
| :--- | :--- |
| Future Owner | Rodney \& Karen Williams |
| Address* | City* |
| 31831 Lake Rd. | Avon Lake |
|  |  |
| State* | Zip* |
| OH | 44012 |
| Telephone* | Email Address* |
| 440-225-5600 | uskwil@gmail.com |

Property Owner Information

Same as Applicant
Name*
Peggy J. Peters (deceased) - Holly Wilburn/Mark Peters

Address*
915 Osborne Avenue

| State* | Zip* |
| :--- | :--- |
| OH | 44052 |

City*
Lorain

44052

Telephone*
440-327-7006 (Holly)

## Email Address*

unknown

## Request Information

## . 0 Code Section(s)

A \# of Variance Requested

Specific Details of Variance Request*

Requesting fence height variance. Requesting 6' high fence on south, east and west side of property. Applicant has reached an agreement to purchase said property from Holly Wilburn (heir of Peggy Peters). While paperwork transfers are being handled, Ms. Wilburn has agreed to allow applicant to request zoning variances.

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

- Rodney Williams

Mar 10, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

| Would you like to grant access to the city to enter | Signature* |
| :--- | :--- |
| your property?* | Rodney Williams (on behalf of Holly <br> Wilburn) <br> Mar 10, 2024 |
| Grant |  |
|  |  |
| Any dog(s) on property?* | Daytime Phone Number* |
| No | $440-225-5600$ |

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

Variance requested to provide privacy for residence alongside public park thoroughfare and neighboring unuseable land.
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

Without variance, this lot would be subject to heavy pedestrian traffic, with no privacy. Future planned swimming pool would a 4 ' fence minimum, so variance is request for only additional 2 ' higher.
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

Same as above.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

Adjoining properties are either park land or unusable / unbuildable land. No detriment in value. The character of the home and landscaping will improve neighborhood. Values of adjoining properties would most likely be increased by this home.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

> No
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

No
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

## Yes

I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*
No
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

```
Yes?
```

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

Only neighbor lives in Las Vegas and was unable to be reached.

## Attachments



## Plot Plan Drawn to Scale

REQUIRED
Plot Plan.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:28 PM


Elevations of Proposed Construction (if applicable)
aerial.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:28 PM


Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance
Property Description.pdf
Uploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at 8:28 PM

芭 Wignatures of Neighbors - stating they understand the request and have no objections | Wploaded by Rodney Williams on Mar 10, 2024 at $8: 28 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| :--- |

From: Holly Wilburn [holly_wilburn@yahoo.com](mailto:holly_wilburn@yahoo.com)
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2024 4:36 PM
To:
uskwil@gmail.com
Subject:
Avon Lake Property

Hi Karen - you have my approval to request a variance on Sublot No. 162, Permanent Parcel No. 04-00-018-146-022 in the City of Avon Lake, Ohio. Please let me know if you need anything further.

Best Regards,
Holly Wilburn
184.85'


## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-10

TO: Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-10 (AREA VARIANCE - 516 Belfrey Court)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Alex Augoustidis requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1224.01 (f)(20) Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations - Accessory Uses and Structures at 516 Belfrey Court (single-family residence).

## DISCUSSION:

516 Belfrey Court is a single-family property located in the Belfrey Court at Legacy Pointe No. 3 subdivision. This subdivision is located slightly southwest of the Walker Road and Jaycox road intersection. The property is located at the start of a cul-de-sac. The property is zoned residential PUD (Planned Unit Development) and properties to the north, south and east share the same PUD zoning. The property to the west is zoned $R-1 A$, single-family residence.


The applicant is proposing a $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ basketball court in the northwest corner of the rear yard. In the Planning \& Zoning Code, a basketball court shall be reviewed as "tennis and other recreational courts". Per Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1224.01 (f)(20) Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations - Accessory

Uses and Structures, these courts are only permitted in the rear yard and shall be set back a minimum of $10^{\prime}$ from all lot lines. The applicant is proposing a setback of $10^{\prime}$ to the rear (west) property line and a setback of 5' off the side (north) property line. The applicant is not proposing any lighting or new fencing with the basketball court. If the applicant wishes to do so, all fencing shall be compliant to Code Section 1226.03 - Fences and Walls. Any lighting shall not exceed $20^{\prime}$ height and shall only be directed downward to only illumniate the court and shall comply with Code Section 1226.04 - Outdoor Lighting.


Figure 2: Site Plan - Applicant

There is a maximum rear coverage requirement of $40 \%$ which is not impacted by the proposed basketball court. There are concerns regarding the grading and drainage of the court but that will be addressed during permit review and shall not be a part of the Zoning Board review and decision.

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1224.01 (f)(20) Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations - Accessory Uses and Structures at 516 Belfrey Court (single-family residence). The request would reduce the side yard setback for a rear yard basketball court from 10' to 5 '. The rear yard setback is code compliant and not included in this variance request.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review

Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of Zoning Board of Appeals.

> I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV $24-10$, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1224.01 (f)(20) Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations - Accessory Uses and Structures, permitting a basketball court in the rear yard, reducing the setback from side (north) property line from $10^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$, at 516 Belfrey Court.

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, site plan, and photos of the property. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 516 Belfrey Court.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Site Plan
5. Applicant Photos
H. If the proposed barrier utilizes fencing on the property line that is owned by the neighboring property owner, the pool owner shall be required to build or replace that length of the barrier if it is ever remove by the neighboring property owner.
I. Proper drainage shall be provided to ensure that pool overflow does not affect adjacent properties.
J. Lighting shall be shaded so as not to be a disturbance to adjacent properties.
K. Any sound of motor or pumps in such manner as to disturb the peace, quiet and comfort of neighboring inhabitants shall be shielded to prevent such disturbances.
(20) Tennis and Other Recreational Courts

Outdoor tennis courts and courts for other sports, including basketball and racquetball, that are accessory to a residential or nonresidential use shall comply with the following requirements:
A. Tennis courts or other full-size recreational courts shall only be permitted on lots with a minimum lot area of one acre.
B. The court shall be set back a minimum of 10 feet from all lot lines.
C. All fencing shall be subject to the fence regulations in Section 1226.03: Fences and Walls except that fencing that surrounds a tennis or recreational court may exceed the maximum fence height of this code provided that the fencing is located adjacent to the edge of the court.
D. Any lighting for the court shall not exceed 20 feet in height and shall be directed downward and only illuminate the court. All outdoor lighting shall comply with Section 1226.04: Outdoor Lighting.

## (21) Type B Family Day Care Home (1-6 Children)

Type B family day care homes are permitted when accessory to any residential dwelling unit, regardless of the applicable residential zoning district.
(22) Type A Family Day Care Home

Type A family day care homes may only be considered for a conditional use on lots with a minimum lot size of 20,000 square feet.
(23) Utility Structures
A. Utility structures shall be located to the rear of the lot, to the maximum extent feasible, or otherwise located to create the least amount of visibility.
B. If the applicant demonstrates to the Code Administrator that the utility structure can only be located in a front yard, the structure shall be landscaped in a manner that will allow access to the unit but otherwise buffer the view of the structure. The applicant shall be required to provide a landscaping plan as part of the subject application.
C. Utility structures in the I-1 and I-2 districts shall not be subject to this screening requirement.

### 1224.02 Temporary Uses and Structures

(a) Purpose

This section allows for the establishment of certain temporary uses and structures of limited duration, provided that such uses or structures do not negatively affect adjacent properties, and provided that such uses or events are discontinued upon the expiration of a set time period. Temporary uses and structures shall not involve the construction or alteration of any permanent building or structure.
( 7 J. Craig Snodgrass, CPA, CGFM 516 BELFREY CT AVON LAKE, OH 44012

## Lorain County Auditor



CAV-24-10
Area Variance Application (ZBA)
Status: Active
Submitted On: 3/11/2024

Primary Location
516 BELFREY CT AVON LAKE, OH 44012

Owner
AUGOUSTIDIS ALEXANDER J \& LIA
516 BELFREY CT AVON LAKE, OH 44012


## Applicant Information

## Applicant Role*

Owner

Address*
516 Belfrey Ct

State*
OH

Name*
Alex Augoustidis

## City*

Avon Lake

Zip*
44012

Telephone*
2164961141

Email Address*
astidis@gmail.com

# Property Owner Information 

## Same as Applicant

## Request Information

@ Code Section(s)

# — \# of Variance Requested 

## Specific Details of Variance Request*

requesting deviation to add a basketball court in the back yard of 516 Belfrey Court in the North West corner of the lot. Requesting deviation to place court 10' from Western property line and 5' from Northern property line.

Northern neighbor has a fence 25' from property line with tree landscaping between fence and property line.

Western neighbor has stand along garage 20' from south west property line with gravel driveway to the property line.

Court will drain to existing storage drain.

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

- Alex Augoustidis

Mar 11, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

| Would you like to grant access to the city to enter <br> your property?* | Signature* |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grant Alex Augoustidis <br>  Mar 11, 2024 |  |
| Any dog(s) on property?* | Daytime Phone Number* |
| No | 12164961141 |

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?*

Grant

Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*
No

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

Located in north west corner to minimize impact and regarding on existing yard. Court will be placed between two large existing trees to retain tree canopy. Other locations on property within allowable margins have too much grade to be feasible for court.
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

Without the variance the site will retain undeveloped. Low lying location. Currently covered with rough mulch.
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

Variance will have minimum impact on surounding structures.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

No imact to neighbors. Northern neighbors have existing fence and landscaping to reduce visual imact of court and sound. Western neighbor's structure is over 100 feet from proposed location.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No, located in back corner of property, not on frontage of property.
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

No special conditions.
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

Any other location will require exceesive regarding of the property.
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

Court would be out of sight from frontage of property.
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

No special privledge.
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

Western neighbor has pre-existing structure and drive on their property within the distance stated as a mininum for the proposed court.

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

## Attachments



## Plot Plan Drawn to Scale

516 Belfrey Court Basketball Court 10Mar2024.pdf
Uploaded by Alexander Augoustidis on Mar 11, 2024 at 6:44 PM


Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance
516 Belfrey Lot Pictures.docx
Uploaded by Alexander Augoustidis on Mar 11, 2024 at 6:53 PM


Facing south, red tape indicates 5' area within Northern margin of 10'


Facing West. Leaves covering existing drain.



Northern Neighbor existing fence and landscaping


10' distance from western property line. Includes existing neighbor's garage and driveway.

Applicant Provided


Applicant Provided


## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-12

TO: $\quad$ Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-12 (AREA VARIANCE - 326 Inwood Blvd)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Amanda Wanner requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 326 Inwood Blvd (single-family residence).

## DISCUSSION:

326 Inwood Blvd is a single-family property located south of Redwood Blvd. The property is zoned R-1C, Single-Family Residence. All surrounding properties share the same R-1C zoning.


The applicant is proposing a $6^{\prime}$ tall to fence to enclose the rear yard and southern side yard. Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts states that maximum height of a fence in a rear yard is $6^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ in a side yard. The proposed rear yard fencing is code compliant while the $30^{\prime}$ (refer to the highlighted area on Figure 3) of side yard fencing extends 2' over the maximum permitted height. The proposed fencing does not extend east, beyond the front of the home, into the front yard. There is no existing fence on the property and the neighbor to the south has an existing 4 ' tall chain-link fence just south of the shared property line.


Figure 3: Site Plan - Applicant

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 326 Inwood Blvd (Single-Family Residence). The request would permit a 6' tall privacy fence in the southern side yard. The fencing located in the rear yard is not included in the request and is considered code compliant.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or
denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

> I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-12, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts, permitting a $6^{\prime}$ tall privacy fence in the southern side yard (as depicted).

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, along with a site plan, and photos. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 326 Inwood Blvd.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. A permit has been submitted by the applicant and has been placed on hold until a decision is made by the Zoning Board of Appeals. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure(s) location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Plan
5. Applicant Provided Photos

Table 1226-9: Fences and Walls in Residential Districts

| Type of Fence or Wall | Yard in Which Permitted | Maximum Height Permitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vinyl Coated Chain Link <br> Fence | Side and Rear | 4 Feet |
| Open Fence | Front | 3 Feet [1] |
|  | Side | 4 Feet |
|  | Rear | 6 Feet [2] |
| Solid Fence or Wall | Side | 4 Feet |
| NOTES: <br> [1] A four-foot open fence may be constructed in front of a dwelling provided that the length of such <br> fence does not extend past the side walls of the house or across a driveway and provided it is set <br> back from the right-of-way line a minimum of $75 \%$ of the minimum front building setback for the <br> district. <br> [2] Trellises up to 16 feet in total length may exceed the maximum permitted height when located in <br> the rear yard adjacent to, and between the side walls, of the dwelling. |  |  |

## (e) Fences and Walls in Nonresidential Districts

The following standards apply to fences and walls in nonresidential districts.
(1) No fence or wall shall be, in any way, electrified or topped with any sharp-edged materials with the exception of fences in the I-1 and I-2 Districts where fences may be topped with barbed wire. Such barbed-wire shall be placed on a 45-degree angle- arm away from the side of the fence that fronts a property or right-of-way line. Such barbed wire shall only be located along the top of a fence and shall not extend below the top of the fence more than 12-inches.
(2) No fence shall exceed 8 feet in height in any rear or side yard, or exceed 3 feet in height in any front yard. In the I-1 and I-2 Districts, any fence in a front yard that is set back a minimum of 60 feet shall be permitted to have a maximum height of eight feet.
(3) Any proposed fence shall be approved as part of the site plan review in accordance with this code.

## (f) Temporary Fences

Fences erected for a specific function and limited time duration, including deer, snow, or construction fencing, are permitted as follows and in compliance with the following requirements.
(1) Temporary fences shall be maintained in good condition and shall not require a zoning permit.
(2) No temporary fencing material shall be used for permanent fencing.
(3) Snow Fencing
A. Snow fencing shall be permitted between November 1 of any year and April 1 of the following year.
B. Snow fencing shall not exceed four feet in height.
C. Fencing materials shall be limited to burlap, plastic mesh fabric of a neutral or dark color, any clear plastic material or wood slat fencing (traditional snow fence) with wood or metal supports.


| CAV-24-12 | Primary Location | Applicant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area Variance Application | 326 INWOOD BLVD | - Amanda Wanner |
| (ZBA) | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 | 2440-506-8785 |
| Status: Active | Owner | @ a.wanner@yahoo.com |
| Submitted On: 3/12/2024 | WANNER AMANDA | - 326 Inwood Blvd |
|  | 326 inwood blvd AVON LAKE, | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 |
|  | OH 44012 |  |

## Applicant Information

| Applicant Role* | Name* |
| :--- | :--- |
| Owner | Amanda |
| Address* | City* |
| Wanner | Avon lake |
| State* | Zip* |
| Ohio | 44012 |
|  |  |
| Telephone* | Email Address* |
| 440-506-8785 | A.wanner@yahoo.com |

Property Owner Information

## Same as Applicant

$\square$

Request Information

O Code Section(s) \# of Variance Requested

Specific Details of Variance Request*

Fence

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

- Amanda wanner

Mar 12, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter
your property?*
Grant

Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*
No

Signature*
Amanda wanner
Mar 12, 2024

Daytime Phone Number*
440-506-8785

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

None of these apply
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

Nit that I know of
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

## Yes it will help

D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

No one would suffer. It will bring value to the properties.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No it will not
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

## OK

G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

## Probably not

H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

Ok
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

No special privileges
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

Ok

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

## Attachments

Plot Plan Drawn to Scale<br>REQUIRED<br>2486733e-e025-41cc-afa2-ab6605db3e6a.jpg<br>Uploaded by Amanda Wanner on Mar 12, 2024 at 9:46 AM<br>Elevations of Proposed Construction (if applicable)<br>2486733e-e025-41cc-afa2-ab6605db3e6a.jpg<br>Uploaded by Amanda Wanner on Mar 12, 2024 at 9:47 AM<br>Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance 20240309_133339.jpg<br>Uploaded by Amanda Wanner on Mar 12, 2024 at 9:47 AM

Signatures of Neighbors - stating they understand the request and have no objections 20240309_133241.jpg
Uploaded by Amanda Wanner on Mar 12, 2024 at 9:47 AM

Applicant - Site Plan


Applicant Photos 1/2



## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-13

TO: $\quad$ Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-13 (AREA VARIANCE - 31951 Hidden Cove)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Nick Firment requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 31951 Hidden Cove (single-family residence).

## DISCUSSION:

31951 Hidden Cove is a single-family property located in the South Port Subdivision. South Port is in the southeastern section of the city, on the south side of Walker Road. The property is zoned a residential PUD (Planned Unit Development). All surrounding properties are a part of the same PUD.


Figure 1: Lorain County Auditor GIS - Spring 2023 Aerial


Figure 2: City of Avon Lake Zoning Map - 2022

The property is a corner lot with two frontages. The home fronts onto Hidden Cove and its secondary frontage is on South Port Drive. Because of this, the rear yard directly abuts the front yard. Below (Figure 3 ) is an image depicting the designated yard types for corner lots.

The applicant is proposing a 4' tall black ornamental aluminum fence to enclose their rear yard, side yard, and a $38^{\prime} \times 66^{\prime}$ portion of the front yard adjacent to South Port Drive. Planning \& Zoning Code Section
1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts states that maximum height of a fence in front yard as $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ in a side yard, and $6^{\prime}$ in a rear yard.

The proposed side yard and rear yard fencing is code compliant while the front yard fencing extends $1^{\prime}$ over the maximum permitted height. The fence is proposed 2' off the property line (back of sidewalk) along South Port Drive. The fence is depicted as a red line in Figure 3.


There is a $12^{\prime}$ utility easement (The Illuminating Company) located on both fronts of the property. The easement starts at the back of the sidewalk, which is the front property line and extends $12{ }^{\prime}$ into the property. This easement is extended throughout the entire subdivision and is not unique to this individual property. The applicant proposes the fencing to encroach $10^{\prime}$ into the easement. There is also a $10^{\prime}$ sewer easement and a $12^{\prime \prime}$ storm sewer along the southern property line (rear). The fencing is proposed up to the property line, entirely in the easement. The easement note on the final plat does not prohibit landscaping or structures from being in the easement. However, the easement language puts the responsibility and the liability on the property owner if anything is damaged, needs moved, etc., for any maintenance inside of the easement.

Figure 3: Yards and Proposed Fencing
If the variance is granted and prior to ant construction, the applicant shall coordinate with the proper utility providers and Ohio 811 ("Call Before You Dig") to confirm that the fencing does impact any utilities.

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts at 31951 Hidden Cove (Single-Family Residence). The request would permit a 4' tall black ornamental fence in the front yard, adjacent to South Port Drive. The fencing located in the rear yard and side yard is not included in the request and is code compliant.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review

Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-13, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.03 (d)(2) General Development Standards - Fences and Walls in Residential Districts, permitting a 4 ' tall ornamental aluminum fence in the front yard (as depicted), along South Port Drive, at 31951 Hidden Cove.

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, along with a site plan, aerial and fence detail. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 31951 Hidden Cove.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. A permit has been submitted and placed on hold, pending the outcome of the proposed variance request. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Site Plan

Table 1226-9: Fences and Walls in Residential Districts

| Type of Fence or Wall | Yard in Which Permitted | Maximum Height Permitted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vinyl Coated Chain Link <br> Fence | Side and Rear | 4 Feet |
| Open Fence | Front | 3 Feet [1] |
|  | Side | 4 Feet |
|  | Rear | 6 Feet [2] |
| Solid Fence or Wall | Side | 4 Feet |

## (e) Fences and Walls in Nonresidential Districts

The following standards apply to fences and walls in nonresidential districts.
(1) No fence or wall shall be, in any way, electrified or topped with any sharp-edged materials with the exception of fences in the I-1 and I-2 Districts where fences may be topped with barbed wire. Such barbed-wire shall be placed on a 45-degree angle- arm away from the side of the fence that fronts a property or right-of-way line. Such barbed wire shall only be located along the top of a fence and shall not extend below the top of the fence more than 12-inches.
(2) No fence shall exceed 8 feet in height in any rear or side yard, or exceed 3 feet in height in any front yard. In the I-1 and I-2 Districts, any fence in a front yard that is set back a minimum of 60 feet shall be permitted to have a maximum height of eight feet.
(3) Any proposed fence shall be approved as part of the site plan review in accordance with this code.

## (f) Temporary Fences

Fences erected for a specific function and limited time duration, including deer, snow, or construction fencing, are permitted as follows and in compliance with the following requirements.
(1) Temporary fences shall be maintained in good condition and shall not require a zoning permit.
(2) No temporary fencing material shall be used for permanent fencing.
(3) Snow Fencing
A. Snow fencing shall be permitted between November 1 of any year and April 1 of the following year.
B. Snow fencing shall not exceed four feet in height.
C. Fencing materials shall be limited to burlap, plastic mesh fabric of a neutral or dark color, any clear plastic material or wood slat fencing (traditional snow fence) with wood or metal supports.
J. Craig Snodgrass, CPA, CGFझ 951 HIDDEN COVE AVON LAKE, OH 44012 Lorain County Auditor


CAV-24-13
Area Variance Application (ZBA)
Status: Active
Submitted On: 3/13/2024

Primary Location
31951 HIDDEN COVE
AVON LAKE, OH 44012
Owner
FIRMENT NICOLAS J \&
FIRMENT KIMBERLY A
31951 HIDDEN COVE AVON
LAKE, OH 44012

Applicant

- Nick Firment

240-315-1303
@ nick.firment@gmail.com
31951 Hidden Cove
Avon Lake, OH 44012

## Applicant Information

## Applicant Role*

Homeowner

Address*
31951 Hidden Cove

State*
OH

Telephone*
440-315-1303

## Name*

Nick Firment

City*
Avon Lake

Zip*
44012

Email Address*
nick.firment@gmail.com

## Property Owner Information

## Same as Applicant



## Request Information

# ㅇ \# of Variance Requested 

## Specific Details of Variance Request*

Requesting a 4 ft fence instead of a 3 ft fence in back/side yard (was ruled front yard original in application due to my corner lot). This variance would allow me to have a uniform length fence emcompassing my entire back yard

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

- Nicolas James Firment

Mar 12, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

## Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?* <br> Grant

Signature*

- Nicolas James Firment Mar 12, 2024

Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*
Yes
Daytime Phone Number*
440-315-1303

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

No special conditions exist on the land that I am aware of
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

> Without variance approval I would not be able to put a pool in my backyard as I am planning on at some point in the future. Per Avon Lake residential zoning regulations, 'Every swimming pool shall be completely enclosed by a fence and/or structure of sturdy construction at least 48 inches in height, measured from the ground level at each point along the boundary of such enclosure.' And under section D of the exceptions in residential fencing requirements 'The requirements for swimming pool protective barriers shall take precedence where such requirements are in conflict with the regulations of this section.'
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

The variance is not substantial, it is a 1 foot difference in open fence height.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

No detriment to adjoining properties or the neighborhood. If anything, the variance would be an aesthetic positive to all surronding properties/neighborhood.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

No adverse affect whatsoever
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

No special conditions/circumstances exist that I am aware of
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

Without the variance my desire to get a pool cannot feasibly happen. The variance is the only feasible option
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

This variance request is a minor adjustment to the zoning requirement as it is a 1 foot difference in open fence height. The spirit and intent of the zoning laws and requirements remain in tact and no substantial injustice will come from the variance
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

No special privilege would be granted as any other properties with a pool are required to have a 4 ft fence
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

Other properties in Avon Lake enjoy the right to fence in their entire backyard with a fence a uniform height. Because my lot is situated on a corner, I feel I am being denied the full extent of that right.

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

In addition to the pool, I have concerns my dog will be able to hop over a 3 ft fence. Additionallyk, we have one child now and plan on more in the future. I have concerns children will be able to hop over a 3 ft fence as well

## Attachments

自
Uploaded by Nick Firment on Mar 13, 2024 at 9:35 AM

## 31951 Hidden cove

STAKING \& GRADING PLAN
SUBLOT NO. 62
P.P.N. 04-00-029-101-193
\#31951 HIDDEN COVE
SOUTH PORT SUBDIVISION NO. 2 RECORDED IN VOL 112, PG. 62-64

THE CITY OF AVON LAKE,
LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO
KOPF BUILDERS
\#420 AVON BELDEN RD.
AVON LAKE, OHIO 44012
PHONE (440) 933-6908
THE HENRY G. REITZ ENGINEERING CO. 4214 ROCKY RIVER DR., CLEVELAND OH. 44135 PH: (216) 251-3033 EMAIL REITZ GREITZENG.COM

V. PRES.

NOIES:

1. THE CITY OF AVON LAKE PUBUC WORKS DEPARTMENT (ENGINEERING) BE NPOMFIED TWENTY FOUR (24) HOURS IN ADVANCE FOR ALL REQUIRED
2. BOTTOM OF FOOTER GRADE IS THE MMMMUM GRADE FOR FROST PROTE CONSULTATION WTH GEOTECHNICAL GRADE TO BE DETERMIEED IS RECOMMENDED. BUILDE
3. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, BASEMENT AND FOOTER ELEVATIONS TO BE VERIIED BY THE BUILDER/CONTRACTOR AND IF ANY DISCREPANCY EXSTS BETWIEN THE HOUSE PLAN AND THIS PLOT PLAN, THE CITY OF AVON LA 4. CONTRACTOR TO UNCOVER AND CHECK INVERT ELEVATION AND LOCATM SEWER CONNECTION BEFORE FOOTER EXCAVATION TO ENSURE GRAVTY FLI 5. IF FOOTER DRANS ARE UTIUZED, A SUMP PUMP IS REQUIRED. USE MIN .0\% SLOPE FROM SUMP CONNECTION TO STORM SEWER.
4. ALL PERTNENT EROSION CONTROL BMP'S WLL BE FOLLOWED THROUGHC 7. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MY BULLDER HAS REVEWED THIS PLOT PLAN WITI PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE CITY OF AVON LAKE.
5. THE CITY OF AVON LAKE IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR EXCESSIVE RUNNINC SUMP PUMP OR FLOODING OF BASEMENT, DURING RANN STORM EVENTS.

OWNERS SIGNATURE DATE
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SOUTH PORT DRIVE 60'

## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-14

TO: $\quad$ Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-14 (AREA VARIANCE - 31729 Maritime Court)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Elizabeth Orr of Dove Home Remodelers, Inc. (on behalf of Maureen Smith) requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(4)(K) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations at 31729 Maritime Court (single-family residence).

## DISCUSSION:

31729 Maritime Court is a single-family property located in the Westwind's Subdivision. The subdivision is on the easternmost side of the city, directly north of Walker Road. The property is zoned R-1A, SingleFamily Residence and all adjacent properties share the same R-1A zoning.


The property is located on a cul-de-sac and has a trapezoid shape. The Planning \& Zoning Code states that for the $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ district, the required front yard setback is $50^{\prime}$, while the required rear yard setback is $35^{\prime}$. As the home currently exists, the setbacks are code compliant. The applicant is proposing a covered and unenclosed porch off the rear of the home. The structure would encroach approximately $44^{\prime} 4-5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ into the rear setback and reduce the rear setback from $35^{\prime}$ to $30^{\prime} 7-3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$.

The applicant is also proposing an uncovered concrete patio off the sw rear of the home. Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(4) deals with projections into required yards. Ground level patios are permitted to encroach the required rear yard setback, while covered structures are not and are considered a part of the primary structure for setback purposes


Figure 3: Site Plan - Per the Applicant

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(4)(K) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations at 31729 Maritume Court (Single-Family Residence). The request would permit a covered unenclosed porch to ecnroach $4{ }^{\prime} 4-5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ into the $35^{\prime}$ rear yard setback.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review Procedures - Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-14, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1226.01 (e)(4)(K) General Development Standards - Lot and Principal Building Regulations, permitting a covered porch to encroach the required rear yard setback, as depicted., at 31729 Maritime Court.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, along with a site plan, and photos. All of which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 31729 Maritime Court.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. A permit has been submitted and placed on hold, pending the outcome of the proposed variance request. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Area Variance Factors
5. Copy of Site Plans
6. Applicant Photos

## (3) Setback Exceptions

A. In cases where the side lines of a lot are not perpendicular to the street line, the Code Administrator may average dimensions in measuring the width of side yards.
B. In cases where the rear line of a lot is not parallel with the street line, average dimensions may be used in determining the depths of rear yards.

## (4) Projections into Required Yards

Every part of a required yard shall be open to the sky and unobstructed except:
A. As otherwise provided in this section;
B. For accessory and temporary uses as allowed in Chapter 1224: Accessory and Temporary Use Regulations;
C. For landscaping as allowed in Chapter 1232: Landscaping and Screening Standards;
D. For parking and circulation as allowed in Chapter 1234: Parking, Access, and Mobility Standards;
E. For signage as allowed in Chapter 1236: Sign Standards;
F. For the ordinary projections of architectural features including, but not limited to, eaves, gutters, downspouts, flues, skylights, sills, belt courses, cornices and ornamental features, not extending more than 16 inches into the required yard;
G. Chimneys, not extending more than 24 inches;
H. Window air conditioner units;
I. Walls and fences as permitted in accordance with Section 1226.03: Fences and Walls;
J. Unenclosed steps, including fire escapes, may be allowed in the required front, rear, or side yard setbacks, provided, however that steps and/or fire escapes shall be no closer than two feet from the side lot line;
K. Unenclosed platforms, porches, landings, steps, terrace, decks, terraces or other similar features not extending above the first-floor level of a building, or any combination thereof, may extend six feet into the required front yard setback and three feet into the required side yard setback. Such encroachment shall not cover more than 50 percent of the applicable façade width; and
L. Fixed and retractable awnings and canopies, not extending more than two feet into a required setback.

## (5) Interior Lots

A. Unless otherwise stated, the required minimum front yard setback shall be measured from the street right-of-way or, where a right-of-way is not identified, the front lot line. See Figure 1226-C.
B. The lot line located directly behind the rear of the structure, as determined by the Code Administrator, shall be the rear lot line and the rear yard setback shall be applied. See Figure 1226-C.
C. All other lot lines shall be considered the side lot line and the side yard setback shall be applied. See Figure 1226-C.


| CAV-24-14 | Primary Location | Applicant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area Variance Application | 31729 MARITIME CT | Elizabeth Orr |
| (ZBA) | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 | $440-777-7555$ |
| Status: Active | Owner | duckettm@doverremodeling.com |
| Submitted On: 3/13/2024 | SMITH MAUREEN TTRUSTEE | 29341 Lorain Road |
|  | 31729 MARITIME COURT | North Olmsted, Oh 44070 |
|  | AVON LAKE, OH 44012 |  |

## Applicant Information

## Applicant Role*

Project Designer

Address*
29341 Lorain Road

State*
Ohio

## Telephone*

$440-777-7555 \times 104$

## Name*

Leslie Alton

City*
North Olmsted

Zip*
44070

Email Address*
altonl@doverremodeling.com
orrb@doverremodeling.com

## Property Owner Information

## Same as Applicant

$\square$
Name*
Maureen Smith

Address*
31729 Maritime Court

City*
Avon Lake

| State* | Zip* |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ohio | 44012 |

Telephone*
440-371-4766

Email Address* maureensmith1220@gmail.com

## Request Information

```
O. Code Section(s)
```

ㅇ \# of Variance Requested

Specific Details of Variance Request*

4' $45 / 8$ " Variance to allow for the construction of an attached open porch

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

Leslie Alton
Mar 13, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?*

Grant

Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*
No

Signature*

- Leslie Alton

Mar 12, 2024

Daytime Phone Number*
440-777-7555

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

## See attached sheet

B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

## See attached sheet

C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

## See attached sheet

D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

## See attached sheet

E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

## See attached sheet

F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

See attached sheet
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

## See attached sheet

H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

See attached sheet
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

See attached sheet
J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

See attached sheet

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

## Attachments



Plot Plan Drawn to Scale
REQUIRED
LA-SMITH-ER_city permits_revision2.pdf
Uploaded by Elizabeth Orr on Mar 13, 2024 at 11:06 AM


Elevations of Proposed Construction (if applicable)
LA-SMITH-ER_3D prints rev.pdf
Uploaded by Elizabeth Orr on Mar 13, 2024 at 11:06 AM


Photographs of the Property and the Area Affected by the Variance
31729 Maritime Court pictures.docx
Uploaded by Elizabeth Orr on Mar 13, 2024 at 10:58 AM

Smith Zoning.docx
Smith Zoning.docx
Uploaded by Elizabeth Orr on Mar 13, 2024 at 11:00 AM

SMITH RESIDENCE 31729 MARITIME COURT
AVON LAKE, OHIO

VARIANCE APPLICATION

## FACTORS

A. THIS LOT IS AN IRREGULAR SHAPE AND A PARTICULARLY SHALLOW LOT FOR the westwinds development. As the home sits on the lot, there is VERY LITTLE SPACE THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED THEIR BACK YARD. THE hOME SITS AT AN ANGLE SO THIS REDUCES THE BACK YARD SPACE EVEN MORE DRASTICALLY. AT THE BACK PROPERTY LINE, THE LOT IS VERY WIDE AT 204 FT AND THE FRONT IS A MERE 63 FT. THE SIDE PROPERTY LINES DIFFER FROM 158 TO 223 CREATING AN ODDLY SHAPED REAR BACK YARD. THE MAJORITY OF THE BACK YARD SQUARE FOOTAGE IS IN THE RIGHT REAR CORNER OF THE YARD AND IT IS NOT USABLE TO THE SMITHS, DUE TO THE PLANNED DRAINAGE FOR THE SURROUNDING HOMES.
B. THE PROPOSED PORCH WILL CREATE AN OUTDOOR LIVING SPACE FOR THE SMITHS, THEIR ADULT CHILDEN AND FUTURE GRANDCHILDREN. THEY REQUESTED THE DESIGN TO INCLUDE BOTH A CONVERSATION AREA AND A DINING AREA UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE PORCH. THE PORCH WILL HAVE RECESSED HEATING, CREATING AN ENTERTAINING SPACE THAT WILL EXTEND THEIR OUTDOOR ENJOYMENT INTO SPRING AND FALL. EXTENDING THEIR USAGE OF THIS SPACE WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO THE FAMILY. THIS PROTECTED ENTERTAINING SPACE AND THE BEAUTIFUL MATERIALS SELECTED WILL ADD SIGNIFICANT VALUE TO THIS HOME. WITHOUT A VARIANCE, tHERE WILL NOT BE ADAQUATE SQUARE FOOTAGE TO ACCOMMODATE THE SIZE NEEDED FOR BOTH THE CONVERSATION SEATING AND DINING AREAS UNDER THE PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE.
C. DUE TO THE ANGLE IN WHICH THE HOME IS SITUATED ON THE LOT, AND IN TURN THE ANGLE OF THE PROPOSED PORCH, THE VARIANCE REQUESTED AT 4' $4-5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE ENOUGH SPACE FOR BOTH THE CONVERSATION AREA AND THE DINING AREA. REDUCING THE SIZE OF THE PORCH WILL NOT ALLOW THE SPACE TO FUNCTION AS THE HOMEOWNER WOULD LIKE.
D. THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WOULD NOT BE ALTERED IN ANY WAY. THE ADJOINING PROPERTIES WOULD REMAIN UNAFFECTED. THE NEIGHBORS ON BOTH SIDES WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SEE the porch. THE REAR NEIGHBORS WILL HAVE NO SIGHTLINE OF THE PORCH DUE TO THE LARGE PRIVACY LANDSCAPING BED SEPARATING THE TWO YARD. IT WILL NOT BE VISIBLE FROM THE FRONT ELEVATION. ALL SIDING AND EXTERIOR MATERIALS WILL MATCH THE EXISTING AESTHETIC OF THE HOME. IT WILL LOOK SEAMLESS.
E. THE PORCH WILL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE DELIVERY OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES.
F. SPECIAL CONDITIONS OR CIRCUMSTANCES DO NOT EXIST AS A RESULT OF ANY ACTIONS OF THE HOMEOWNERS. NO ALTERATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE ORIGINAL FOOTPRIONT OF THIS HOME.
G. THIS PREDICAMENT CAN NOT BE RESOLVED THROUGH ANY OTHER METHOD OTHER THAN A VARIANCE. WE EXPLORED THE IDEA OF TURNING THE PORCH TO RUN LENGTHWISE ACROSS THE BACK OF THE HOME. THIS WILL NOT WORK DUE TO INFRINGING ON THE FAMILY ROOM WINDOWS AND CHIMNEY. WE COULD NOT ARRIVE AT A SOLUTION THAT WOULD GIVE THEM THE ADAQUATE SPACE REQUIRED AND THAT WOULD LOOK AESTHETICALLY APPROPRIATE BOTH EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR. REDUCING THE PORCH SIZE WILL NOT PROVIDE THE PROTECTED SPACE THEY NEED TO HOST THEIR FAMILY AND FRIENDS. THIS PORCH WILL PROVIDE SHADE IN EXTREME HEAT, PROTECTION FROM INCLEMENT WEATHER, LIGHTING IN THE EVENINGS AND WARMTH ON CHILLY DAYS IN THE SPRING AND FALL.
H. WE FEEL THE SPIRIT AND INTENT BEHIND THE ZONING REQUIREMENT WOULD STILL BE PRESERVED IN GRANTING THIS VARIANCE MAINLY BECAUSE THE PROJECT WILL NOT AFFECT ANY ADJACENT PROPERTIES. IT WILL BE CONTRUCTED OF THE HIGHEST QUALITY MATERIALS AND LOOK LIKE AN EXTENSION OF THE HOME. WE ARE PRESERVING ALL SURROUNDING LANDSCAPING SO IT WILL REMAIN A VERY PRIVATE SPACE FOR THE HOMEOWNERS. SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE WILL BE DONE BY GRANTING THE VARIANCE AS IT WILL ALLOW THE HOMEOWNERS TO STAY IN THIS RESIDENCE WHILE CREATING A MORE FUNCTIONAL AND ENJOYABLE BACK YARD. IT WILL ALLOW US TO BRING TO LIFE THE SMITH'S VISION TO LIFE. THEIR ULTIMATE GOAL IN RETIREMENT IS TO HAVE A BEAUTIFULLY DESIGNED

OUTDOOR ENTERTAINING SPACE TO HOST THEIR GROWNING FAMILY. THIS REQUIEST ALIGNS WITH MANY OF THE SURROUNDING HOMES IN THIS NEIGHBORHOOD.
I. WE DO NOT FEEL THE GRANTING OF THIS VARIANCE WILL CONVEY ANY SPECIAL PRIVELEGES DENIED TO OTHER PROPERITES, STRUCTURES OR BUILDINGS IN THIS DISTRICT. DUE TO THE SHAPE OF THIS PROPERTY AND THE POSITION OF THE HOME, THIS CREATES AN EXTREMELY SHALLOW BACK YARD SITUATION THAT IS UNLIKE THE MAJORITY OF THE PROPERTIES IN THIS DISTRICT. THERE ARE OTHER PROPERTIES IN THIS SUBDIVISION WITH CUL DE SAC LOTS THAT WE ASSUME HAVE BEEN GRANTED A VARIANCE TO ENCROACH IN THE 35' SET BACK. 31708 DRIFTWOOD COURT WOULD BE ONE EXAMPLE. IT APPEARS THEY BUILT A STRUCTURE THAT IS ONLY APPROXIMATELY 13' FROM THE REAR PROPERTY LINE. THE SMITH'S PROPOSED PORCH STILL ALLOWS 30' 7-3/8" TO THE REAR PROPERTY LINE.
J. DUE TO THE LOCATION OF THE REAR PROPERTY LINE AND WHEN THE HOME WAS BUILT ON THIS LOT, THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF THIS CODE WOULD DEPRIVE THE APPLICANTS THE RIGHT TO CREATE A PROTECTED ENTERTAINING SPACE FOR THEIR FAMILY. THE SMITHS HAVE CONSIDERED MOVING TO PURCHASE A HOME WITH GREATER OUTDOOR POTENTIAL. ULTIMATELY, THEY WISH TO REMAIN IN THIS HOME AND INVEST IN THIS PORCH AND PATIO PROJECT. THE MAJORITY OF HOMES IN THIS DISTRICT WOULD NOT ENCOUNTER THIS HARDSHIP AND THERE ARE OTHER HOMES WITH IRREGULAR SHAPED LOTS THAT APPEAR AS THOUGH THEY HAVE BEEN GRANTED A VARIANCE.


## SMITH RESIDENCE <br> EXTERIOR REMODEL PROJECT

31729 MARITIME COURT
AVON LAKE, OH 44012

|  | Drawing Index |
| :--- | :--- |
| T-1 | Title Sheet \& Site Plan |
| D-1 | 1st Floor \& Elevation Plan Demo |
| A-1 | Foundation Plan Proposal |
| A-2 | 1st Floor Plan Proposal |
| A-3 | 2nd Floor \& Roof Plan Proposal |
| A-4 | Rear Elevation Plan Proposal |
| A-5 | Section Plan Proposal |
| A-6 | Section Plan Proposal |
| E-1 | Electrical Plan Proposal |
| M-1 | Mechanical Plan Proposal |
| S-1 | Structural Beam Plan Proposal |



Rear Elevation Plan Demo
$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}=1 \mathrm{ft}$


PERMIT SET

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MECHANCAL-ELECTRCALL LEGEND |  |
|  | \$-110 RECEPTACLE <br> \#-110 QUAD RECEPTACLE <br> \$ - 110 GFI RECEPTACLE <br>  <br> \# - 220 RECEPTACLE <br> § - DISPOSAL SWITCH <br> ${\underset{\circ}{\circ}}_{\infty}^{\infty}$-DISPOSAL <br> $\stackrel{\text { SD }}{ }$ - SMOKE DETECTOR <br> $\stackrel{C_{C D}}{-}$-CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR <br> ( $\uparrow$ - THERMOSTAT <br> © -PHONE JACK <br> $\stackrel{T}{\square}$ - TVICABLE JACK <br> $\stackrel{\square}{E_{P}}-E$ <br> ECTRICAL PANEL |
| wubatr | (C) - DENOTES CHANGE DEVICE (D) - DENOTES DEMO DEVICE (E) - DENOTES EXISTING |
| THESE DRAWINGS AND DESIGNS WILL BE RELEASED AT AUTHORIZATION OF PROJECT. ANY ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITIES OF DOVER HOME REMODELERS, INC. ALL RIGHTS ARE HEREBY SPECIFICALLY RESERVED. |  |
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STREET VIEW ILLUSTRATING THE DISTANCE BETWEEN 31729 MARITIME COURT AND THE ADJACENT HOMES.



VIEW OF REAR PROPERTY LINE FROM FURTHEST POINT OF PROPOSED PORCH



REAR VIEW OF 31729 MARITIME COURT. PROPOSED PORCH WILL EXTEND 2' FROM EXISTING PATIO WALL LOCATION

## REPORT - ZBA CASE 24-15

TO: Members, Zoning Board of Appeals
FROM: Austin Page, Planning \& Zoning Manager
SUBJECT: CAV 24-15 (AREA VARIANCE - 33625 Pin Oak Parkway)
MEETING: March 27, 2024

Justin Hellinger requests an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1234.14 Parking, Access, and Mobility Standards - Number of Parking Spaces Required at 33625 Pin Oak Parkway (Industrial).

PROPERTY:

33625 Pin Oak Parkway is currently home to All Pro Freight and is located on the south side of Pin Oak Parkway. The property is approximately 980' from the Moore Road and Pin Oak Parkway intersection.


Figure 1: Lorain County Auditor GIS - Spring 2023 Aerial


Figure 2: City of Avon Lake Zoning Map - 2022

The property encompasses five parcels, all with the same ownership. The property is zoned I-2, General Industrial. All surrounding properties share the same I-2, General Industrial zoning. The Norfolk and Southern Railroad tracks are directly south of the property, followed by the City of Avon, OH. Regarding uses, the subject property is primarily used for warehousing but also houses Elements ATA (Karate Studio). In the immediate area, there is a mix of light and heavy industrial uses, as well as commercial and personal services such as a dance studio and office space.

## HISTORY:

The aerial in Figure 1 (above) is from the Lorain County Auditor GIS website and is from the Spring of 2023. Since that spring, All Pro Freight has nearly completed a 200,000 -sf addition off the rear of the existing building. The addition encompasses portions of the southmost parcels.

On April 26, 2023, the Zoning Board of Appeals heard Case 23-09, an area variance to waive the requirement of 200 additional parking spaces ( 72 existing on the site). The variance was granted, and the addition subsequently received site plan approval (PC 012-23) by the Planning Commission on July 5, 2023.

## DISCUSSION:

As it currently exists, there is approximately 60,000-sf of warehousing at the front (north) end of the building. With the completion of the 200,000-sf addition, almost all of the existing warehousing is moving to the rear of the building, freeing up approximately $56,000-\mathrm{sf}$.

The applicant is proposing an indoor pickleball facility, with 16 courts, to occupy the 56,000 -sf space. A pickleball facility is classified as a "personal services" use and is permitted in the $\mathrm{I}-2$ district. The use requires one parking space per 250 -sf of the gross floor area. Based on the square footage, 224 new parking spaces would be required.

There are 72 spaces existing on the site but those spaces are dedicated towards the All Pro Freight and Elements ATA (Karate Studio) parking requirements and shall not be factored into the pickleball parking requirements. Per the Zoning Board Application, the applicant has stated there is enough paved space on the site to line an additional 36 parking spaces. This would reduce the amount of spaces needed from 224 to total of 188 parking spaces.

## VARIANCE REQUESTED:

The applicant requests one area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1234.14 Parking, Access, and Mobility Standards - Number of Parking Spaces Required at 33625 Pin Oak Parkway (Industrial). The request would waive the


Figure 3: Property Layout - Applicant
requirement of an additional 188 parking spaces for a 56,000-sf indoor pickleball facility.

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS (ZBA):

The ZBA shall review the application, findings, testimony, etc. In reviewing the application, the ZBA shall at a minimum consider the review criteria of Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1214.09 (c)(1) Review Procedures Variances. The ZBA may request that the applicant supply additional information that the Board deems necessary to review and evaluate the request for a variance.

In making its decision, the ZBA may approve, approve modifications or supplementary conditions, or deny the application. Additionally, the ZBA shall make specific findings of fact based directly on the evidence presented that the reasons set forth in the application and as presented by the applicant during the public hearing. The ZBA shall justify the approval, approval with modifications or supplementary conditions, or denial of the variance application that will make possible a reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

## POTENTIAL MOTION:

The language set forth below is provided as a guide, with no intent to suggest specific action on the part of Zoning Board of Appeals.

I move to approve/deny ZBA Application, CAV 24-15, an area variance to Planning \& Zoning Code Section 1234.14 Parking, Access, and Mobility Standards - Number of Parking Spaces Required, waiving the requirement of 188 additional parking spaces for a 56,000-sf indoor pickleball facility, at 33625 Pin Oak Parkway.

Please state any conditions.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The applicant has supplied written responses to the Area Variance Review Criteria, which can be found in the packet.

The Community Development Department sent notice of this request to all property owners within 300' of 33625 Pin Oak Parkway.

If the area variance is granted, the applicant shall submit a Residential Building Permit application to the Building Department for review and approval. The Community Development Department is a step in the approval process and is responsible for confirming consistency with ZBA approval, structure location, setbacks, building height(s), façade materials, etc.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. Copy of Code Section(s)
2. Aerial View of Property
3. Copy of ZBA Application
4. Copy of Property Layout and Paved Space

### 1234.11 Uses Not Specified

Where a use is not specifically mentioned, the requirements for the most similar applicable use shall apply as determined by the Code Administrator.

### 1234.12 Not to Exceed Requirement and Reduced Parking

## (a) Not to Exceed

In order to prevent excessive lot coverage, the artificial increase in air temperature, and an unnecessary increase in surface water run-off, no minimum off-street parking space requirement in Section 1234.14: Number of Parking Spaces Required, shall be exceeded by more than 20 percent unless good cause can be shown by the applicant and approved by the Planning Commission. Single-family dwellings, two-family dwellings, and multi-family dwellings are exempt from this provision.

## (b) Reduced and Adjacency Parking

The Planning Commission may approve a site plan with a reduction in the number of parking spaces required if it can be shown that the lesser number of spaces is appropriate and consistent with these regulations, the Land Use Plan, and other plans of the City under the following provisions:
(1) When the required parking spaces for a proposed use can be accommodated on an adjacent or nearby site, arrangements can be made between the businesses and other property owners that are not normally open, used or operated during the same hours to share parking facilities in order to meet their parking requirements, provided that not more than fifty percent of the required parking spaces are shared.
(2) Where the required parking spaces are collectively or jointly provided and used, a written agreement thereby assuring their retention for such purposes shall be properly drawn and executed by the parties concerned, approved as to form by the Law Director, and filed with the application for a zoning permit.

### 1234.13 Deferred Construction of Parking Spaces

If the number of parking spaces required in Section 1234.14: Number of Parking Spaces Required, is substantially larger than the number anticipated by the applicant, and the applicant provides sufficient evidence that supports the reduced parking needs, the Planning Commission may approve a site plan with a lesser number of parking spaces, provided that:
(a) Suitable area(s) must be reserved for the construction of the balance of the total number of spaces otherwise required by this chapter and shall be illustrated on the site plan in locations and with landscaping in full compliance with this code. Such areas shall include needed areas for stormwater management in association with such parking facilities.
(b) The Planning Commission, upon re-evaluation of the project parking needs, may at any time direct that some or all of the reserved spaces be constructed; and
(c) Any additional parking, if and when constructed, shall be provided according to the approved site plan and only after approval of the construction plans by the Code Administrator.

### 1234.14 Number of Parking Spaces Required

The minimum number of off-street parking spaces required shall be as follows:

| Use | Minimum Parking Spaces Required SF = Square Feet GFA = Gross Floor Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural |  |
| Agriculture | 1 per 800 SF GFA of building used for the retail sale of food, drink, or product made on site from agricultural produce |
| Residential Uses |  |
| Bed and Breakfast Establishment | 1 per guest room plus 2 for the owner |
| Dwelling, Multi-Family | 2 per each dwelling unt |
| Dwelling, Single-Family |  |
| Residential Care and Congregate |  |
| Residential Facility | 1 per 4 residents of design capacity plus 2 per facility |
| Skilled Nursing or Personal Care Facility |  |
| Public, Institutional, and Recreational Uses |  |
| Active Recreational Facilities | 1 per 250 SF GFA, or 1 per 5 seats, whichever is greater for indoor use 1 per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$, plus 1 per 5 seats for outdoor use |
| Cemeteries | 1 per 100 SF of GFA used for assembly |
| Cultural Facilities | 1 per 400 SF of GFA or 1 per 8 seats, whichever is greater |
| Educational Institutions (Higher Education) | 1 per 3 auditorium seats, plus 1 per 5 classrooms seats of design capacity |
| Educational Institutions (Preschool and K -12) | 1 per 15 classroom seats of design capacity |
| Essential Services | None Required |
| Fraternal, Charitable, and Service Oriented Clubs | 1 per 150 SF of GFA |
| Government Offices and Buildings (No Outdoor Activities) | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Hospitals | 2 per 3 beds of design capacity |
| Passive Parks, Open Space, and Natural Areas | 1 per 10,000 SF |
| Places of Worship | 1 per 4 seats of design capacity |
| Public Utility Buildings and Facilities | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA |
| Residential Community Centers | 1 per 400 SF GFA of indoor space |
| Wireless Telecommunication Facilities | 1 per site. The driveway may count towards the parking requirement |
| Commercial/Service |  |
| Administrative, Business, or Professional Offices | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Adult Entertainment Business | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Animal Boarding Facilities | 1 per 800 SF GFA |
| Animal Hospital/Clinics | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Assembly Halls or Conference Centers | 1 per 250 SF GFA or 1 per 4 seats design capacity, whichever is greater |
| Automobile, Motorcycle, Recreational Vehicle Sales and Leasing | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA of indoor showroom space |
| Automotive Repair and Service (Minor) | 2 per service bay or 1 for every 400 SF GFA, whichever is greater |
| Automotive Repair and Service (Major) | 2 per service bay or 1 for every 600 SF GFA, whichever is greater |
| Commercial and Business Support Services | 1 per 400 SF GFA |

Table 1234-3: Number of Parking Spaces Required

| Use | Minimum Parking Spaces Required SF = Square Feet GFA = Gross Floor Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Recreational Facilities (Indoors) | 1 per 400 SF GFA, or 1 per 5 seats, whichever is greater for indoor use |
| Commercial Recreational Facilities (Outdoors) | 1 per 1,000 SF, plus 1 per 5 seats |
| Financial Institutions | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Fuel Stations | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Funeral Homes | 1 per 100 SF GFA used for assembly |
| Hotels and Motels | 1 per guest room, plus 1 per 5 seats for restaurant use, plus 1 per 5 seats if banquet facility or conference rooms |
| Medical/Dental Clinics | 1 per 400 SF GFA |
| Microbrewery, Microdistillery, or Microwinery | 1 per 150 SF GFA of retail, tap room, and restaurant space |
| Mixed Use Buildings | See Section 1234.10. |
| Multi-Tenant Use | See Section 1234.10. |
| Nursery Schools and Day Care Centers | 2 per facility plus 1 for every 8 clients of design capacity |
| Personal Services | 1 per 250 SF GFA |
| Restaurants | 1 per 100 SF GFA or 1 per 4 seats of design capacity, whichever is greater |
| Retail Businesses | 1 per 400 SF GFA for uses up to 20,000 SF GFA 1 per 500 SF GFA for uses $20,001-50,000$ SF GFA 1 per 600 SF GFA for uses 50,001-100,000 SF GFA 1 per 800 SF GFA for uses over 100,000 SF GFA |
| Tavern or Bar | 1 per 150 SF GFA |
| Vehicle Washing Establishment | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Industrial, Manufacturing and Processing |  |
| Contractor Equipment and Storage Yards | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Industrial Service Uses | 1 per 800 SF GFA |
| Industrial Uses, Heavy | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA |
| Industrial Uses, Light | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA |
| Metal Salvage and Junk Storage | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Recycling Center | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Research and Development Facilities | 1 per 750 SF GFA |
| Self-Storage Facilities | 1 per 100 units |
| Truck and Heavy Equipment Sales | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Truck Terminals | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Vehicle Storage | 1 per 250 SF GFA of office space |
| Warehouses | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA |
| Wholesale Establishments | 1 per 1,000 SF GFA |

### 1234.15 Existing Parking to be Maintained

Existing off-street parking or loading serving any use may not be reduced in amount or changed in size to less than required by this chapter.
J. Craig Snodgrass, CPA, CGFM Lorain County Auditor


## CAV-24-15 <br> Area Variance Application (ZBA)

Status: Active
Submitted On: 3/13/2024

Primary Location
33625 PIN OAK PKWY
AVON LAKE, OH 44012
Owner
33625 PIN OAK PARKWAY
LLC
1006 CROCKER RD
WESTLAKE, OH 44145

Applicant

- Justin Hellinger

2727-743-9859
@ j.r.hellinger@gmail.com
1 9984 scripps ranch blvd SAN DIEGO, CA 92131

## Applicant Information

## Applicant Role*

Operating Partner

Address*
Crocker Rd

State*
OH

Telephone*
727-743-9859

Name*
Justin Hellinger

City*
Westlake

Zip*
44145

Email Address*
j.r.hellinger@gmail.com

## Property Owner Information

Same as Applicant
Name*
Chris Haas

Address*
Crocker Rd

State*
OH

Telephone*
216-469-9242

City*
Westlake

Zip*
44145

Email Address*
chaas@allprofreight.com

## Request Information

ㅇ. Code Section(s)

ㅇ \# of Variance Requested

## Specific Details of Variance Request*

We are seeking a variance on amount of parking spaces for our Pickleball Club @ 33625 Pin Oak Pwy. On site there is enough paved space to line 108 parking spots.

## Signature

## Applicant Signature*

* Justin Hellinger

Mar 11, 2024

## Authorization for Property Access

\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}Would you like to grant access to the city to enter \& Signature* <br>
your property?* \& Justin Hellinger <br>

Grant \& Mar 11, 2024\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Any dog(s) on property?* | Daytime Phone Number* |
| No | $727-743-9859$ |

Would you like to grant access to the city to enter your property?*

Grant

Any $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ on property?*
No

## Factors Used to Determine Practical Difficulty of an Area Variance

A. Whether special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved and which are not applicable generally to other lands or structures in the same zoning district. Examples of such special conditions or circumstances are exceptional irregularity, narrowness, shallowness or steepness of the lot, or proximity to non-conforming and inharmonious uses, structures or conditions;*

There are no special conditions with this property.
B. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;*

Without the Variance we would not be able to fit the required 216 additional parking spaces on the property.
C. Whether the variance is substantial and is the minimum necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the land or structures;*

With this variance we would have more than a reasonable amount of lined parking spots for our Pickleball complex at max capacity and for our leased warehouse space.
D. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;*

There would be no substantial detriment as a result of this variance due to no land excavating needed.
E. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as water, sewer, trash pickup;*

Our variance would NOT adversely affect any services such as water, sewer, trash, etc.
F. Whether special conditions or circumstances exist as a result of actions of the applicant (actions of the applicant shall not include the purchase or acquisition of the property);*

No special conditions or circumstances exist.
G. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance;*

This variance would be our only method for our predicament.
H. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance;*

Justice would be done by granting this varience for our business.
I. Whether the granting of the variance requested will confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this regulation to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district; and*

Granting this variance will not allow us any special privilege.

## J. Whether a literal interpretation of the provisions of this code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this code.*

The literal interpretation of this code is not applicable to our business, varieance of this code will not enchroach on the safety of our patrons.

Is there anything else you would like the Community Development team to know?

Providing this variance would allow unnecessary construction/excavation to the property. We would have 108 total parking spots for our Pickleball complex and attached lease warehouse space. Our Pickleball complex will have 16 courts with max of 4 players per court at max capacity. We only anticipate using 40-50 spots at any given time on a typical pickleball day. The warehouse will use 3-5 spots on a daily basis. We will have roughly double the amount of parking spots than we anticipate using at any given time.
We will line 108 parking spots by using the existing paved areas on the W side of the building and the NE corner of the property. Loading dock space will no longer be needed.

## Attachments



